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#### Abstract

$\left[\left(\eta^{8}-\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right) \mathrm{Ln}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})(\mathrm{THF})\right]_{2}(\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Sm}, \mathrm{Tm}, \mathrm{Lu})$ reacts with $\mathrm{Na}\left[\mathrm{pyr}^{*}\right]\left(\mathrm{pyr}^{*}=\mathrm{NC}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}_{2}-2,5\right)$ to form $\left[\left(\eta^{8}-\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right) \mathrm{Ln}\left(\eta^{5}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{pyr}^{*}\right)(\mathrm{THF})_{\mathrm{x}}\right](\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Sm} \mathrm{1} \mathrm{x}=,1 ; \mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Tm} \mathrm{2,Lu} \mathrm{3} \mathrm{x}=0$,$) . The novel sandwich compounds have been characterized by \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$ analysis, mass spectrometry and NMR spectroscopy. Additionally, an X-ray structural analysis of 1 was performed. The crystals of 1 are monoclinic, space group $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / c$ with $a=11.131(2) \AA, b=20.023(3) \AA, c=20.118(5) \AA, \beta=91.16(2)^{\circ}, V=4482.8(14) \AA^{3}$ and $Z=4$. The final refinement resulted in $R 1=3.09 \%(I>2 \sigma(I))$.
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## 1. Introduction

In recent years we have been interested in organolanthanide complexes with the goal of synthesizing volatile compounds for MOCVD (Metal Organic Chemical Vapor Deposition) processes. Mixed lanthanide sandwich complexes containing a cyclooctatetraenyl ligand and a substituted cyclopentadienyl ring, e.g. $\left[\left(\eta^{8}-\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)\right.$ -$\operatorname{Ln}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{R}\right)$ ] ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$ [2], Me [3], Et [4]), are extremely well suited for these applications. Studies of the compounds $\left[\left(\eta^{8}-\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right) \mathrm{Ln}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)\right](\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Y}, \quad \mathrm{Dy}$, Er) have shown that they can be used as precursors for the production of thin carbon-free lanthanide oxide films by MOCVD methods [5]. In the course of further investigations on this subject we now present the synthesis of (cyclooctatetraenyl)(azacyclopentadienyl)-

[^0]lanthanide complexes. The 2,5-di-tert.-butylpyrrolyl was employed as nitrogen heterocycle, because it has already been established as a $\pi$-ligand in the lanthanide chemistry [6,7].
(Cyclooctatetraenyl)(pyrrolyl)lanthanide complexes of samarium 1 , thulium 2 , and lutetium 3 were generated by the equimolar reaction of the corresponding (cyclooctatetraenyl)lanthanide chlorides with $2,5-\mathrm{di}$ -tert.-butylpyrrolylsodium in THF at room temperature in reasonable yields. The samarium complex 1 , in contrast to 2 and 3 , contains coordinated THF, which can be removed by drying under high vacuum for several hours.
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\left(\eta^{8}-\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right) \operatorname{Ln}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})(\mathrm{THF})\right]_{2}+2 \mathrm{Na}\left[\mathrm{pyr}^{*}\right]} \\
& \quad \rightarrow \\
& \quad 2\left[\left(\eta^{8}-\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right) \operatorname{Ln}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{pyr}^{*}\right)(\mathrm{THF})_{\times}\right]+2 \mathrm{NaCl} \\
& \\
& \mathrm{Ln}= \\
& \mathrm{Ln} \mathbf{~} \mathbf{~} \mathbf{1} \times=1 \\
& \operatorname{Ln}=\operatorname{Tm~2,~Lu~3,x}=0
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

The tert.-butyl groups of the pyrrolyl ring make the complexes 1-3 soluble in aromatic solvents such as toluene or benzene as well as in nonpolar solvents like $n$-hexane. All three compounds decompose rapidly in the presence of air and moisture, but they are stable in an inert atmosphere with decomposition points around $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The C, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$ analyses, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of 1 and $\mathbf{3}$, and mass spectra of $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ obtained are in good agreement with the molecular formula. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra in benzene- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ show the expected number of signals for the $\pi$-bound eight and five membered rings. In the ${ }^{1} H$ NMR spectrum of the complex 1 the influence of the paramagnetism of the $\mathrm{Sm}^{3+}$ ion on the protons of the ligands is evident. Thus the signals are broadened and their chemical shifts range from $\delta 13.02$ ppm to 0.19 ppm . Similar values for the protons and the carbon atoms of the $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ring and the coordinated THF have been observed for the compounds [ $\left(\eta^{8}\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right) \mathrm{Sm}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{R}\right)(\mathrm{THF})\right](\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$ [2], Me [3], Et [4]). The mass spectra, recorded between $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, show the molecular ion for 2 and 3 , whereas for 1 the fragment with the highest $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ value is the molecular ion without THF.

Suitable single crystals of $\mathbf{1}$ were obtained by cooling a saturated $n$-hexane solution. The X-ray crystal structure analysis [8] revealed two symmetrically independent molecules per asymmetric unit. The solid-state structure of $\mathbf{1}$ is shown in Fig. 1. It confirms the sandwich arrangement. The $\mathrm{Sm}^{3+}$ ion has a pseudo-trigonal planar coordination sphere consisting of one $\eta^{8}$-bound cyclooctatetraene unit, one $\eta^{8}$-bound nitrogen heterocycle, and one coordinated THF ligand. The $\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)_{\mathrm{c}}-$ $\mathrm{Sm}-\left(\mathrm{pyr}^{*}\right)_{\mathrm{c}},\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)_{\mathrm{c}}-\mathrm{Sm}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{THF})$, and (pyr*) $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{c}}-\mathrm{Sm}-$ O (THF) angles sum to $359.9(1) \AA$ (molecule 1 ) and 360.0 (1) $\AA$ (molecule 2), indicating perfect planarity of the lanthanide cation, the oxygen atom, and the ring centers. The formal coordination number at the lanthanide ion is nine. The $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ system is approximately planar with a maximal deviation from the ring plane of $0.051(8) \AA$ (molecule 1) and $0.052(9) \AA$ (molecule 2), whereas the heterocycle is planar within the standard deviation. The average $\mathrm{Sm}-\mathrm{C}\left(\eta^{8}\right)$ distances (2.646(7) $\AA$ for molecule 1, 2.638 (7) $\AA$ for molecule 2) and SmO(THF) bond lengths ( $2.507(4) \AA$ for molecule 1 , $2.524(4) \AA$ for molecule 2) are in good agreement with the corresponding bond distances in $\left[\left(\eta^{8}-\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right) \mathrm{Sm}\left(\eta^{5}\right.\right.$ -$\left.\left.2,4-\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right)(\mathrm{THF})\right] \quad\left(2,4-\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{11}=2,4-\right.$ dimethylpentadienyl) $\left(\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sm}-\mathrm{C}\left(\eta^{8}\right)\right)=2.66 \AA, \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{Sm}-\right.$ $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{THF}))=2.50(1) \AA$ ) [12] and $\left[\left(\eta^{8}-\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right) \mathrm{Sm}\left(\eta_{0}^{5}\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{THF})_{2}\right] \quad\left(\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sm}-\mathrm{C}\left(\eta^{8}\right)\right)=2.68(4) \AA\right.$, $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Sm}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{THF}))=2.600(5)$ and $2.652(5) \AA)$ [13], taking the different coordination numbers into consideration. The distance between the $\mathrm{Sm}^{3+}$ ion and the center of the pyrrolyl in 1 is $2.462(3) \AA$ (molecule 1) and 2.460 (3) $\AA$ (molecule 2) and the ring slippage has a value of $0.158 \AA$ (molecule 1) and $0.168 \AA$ (molecule 2).

## 2. Experimental section

All experiments were carried out under nitrogen using standard Schlenk and vacuum techniques. Solvents were distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl prior to use. The starting materials $\left[\left(\eta^{8}-\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right) \mathrm{Ln}(\mu \text {-CI)(THF) }]_{2}\right.$ $[14,15]$ and $\mathrm{Na}\left[\mathrm{pyr}{ }^{*}\right]$ were synthesized using literature procedures $[7,16]$.

### 2.1. Synthesis of $\left[\left(\eta^{8}-C_{8} H_{8}\right) S m\left(\eta^{5}-p y r^{*}\right)(T H F)\right]$ (I)

$0.60 \mathrm{~g}(0.83 \mathrm{mmol})\left[\left(\eta^{8}-\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right) \mathrm{Sm}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})(\mathrm{THF})\right]_{2}$ was suspended in 50 ml THF , and $0.33 \mathrm{~g}(1.66 \mathrm{mmol})$


Fig. 1. ORTEP [11] diagram of $\mathbf{1}$ with the probability ellipsoids drawn at the $30 \%$ level. Selected distances $\left[\AA\right.$ ] and angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ]: $\mathrm{Sm} 1-\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{1}$ 1.913(3), Sm1-C101 2.624(7), Sm1-C102 2.613(7), Sml-C103 2.663(6), Sml-C104 2.697(6), Sm1-C105 2.656(6), Sml-C106 2.631(7), Sml-C107 2.632(7), Sm1-C108 2.655(7), Sm1-(pyr *) ${ }_{c} 1$ 2.462(3), Sml-Cl13 2.726(6), Sml-Cl14 2.798(6), Sml-Cl15 2.772(6), Sml-Cl16 2.699(6), Sml-N1 2.653(4), Sml-Ol 2.507(4), $\mathrm{Sm} 2-\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)_{\mathrm{c}} 21.913(3), \mathrm{Sm} 2-\mathrm{C} 2012.654(7), \mathrm{Sm} 2-\mathrm{C} 2022.626(7)$, Sm2-C203 2.635(6), Sm2-C204 2.647(7), Sm2-C205 2.605(7), Sm2-C206 2.611(7), Sm2-C207 2.653(7), Sm2-C208 2.675(7), Sm2-(pyr*) 2 2.460(3), Sm2-C213 2.708(6), Sm2-C214 2.789(6), Sm2-C215 2.793(6), Sm2-C216 2.704(6), Sm2-N2 2.647(4), Sm2O2 2.524(4); $\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)_{\mathrm{c}} 1-\mathrm{Sm} 1-\left(\mathrm{pyr}{ }^{*}\right)_{\mathrm{c}} 1 \quad 144.48(11),\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)_{\mathrm{c}} 1-$ Sml-O1 114.78(13), (pyr*) ${ }_{c} 1-\mathrm{Sml}-\mathrm{O} 1100.65(12),\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)_{\mathrm{c}} 2-$ Sm2-(pyr*) 2 143.78(11), $\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)_{c} 2-\mathrm{Sm} 2-\mathrm{O} 2$ 116.47(14), (pyr*) ${ }^{2} 2-\mathrm{Sm}^{2}-\mathrm{O} 29.71(12)$.
$\mathrm{Na}\left[\mathrm{pyr}{ }^{*}\right.$ ] was added at room temperature. The mixture was stirred for a further 12 h . The solvent was removed under vacuum and the residue extracted with 60 ml $n$-hexane. The clear solution was concentrated to 20 ml and on cooling to $-28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ provided the product in a crystalline form. Yield $0.51 \mathrm{~g}(61 \%)$ purple crystals. M.p. $97^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec.). Anal. Found: C, $56.73, \mathrm{H}, 6.92, \mathrm{~N}$ 3.11. $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{36}$ NOSm Calc.: C, 57.09, H, 7.19, N 2.77. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (benzene- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta: 13.02$ (br s, 2 H , pyr* ${ }^{*} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 10.45 (br s, $8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ), 5.40 (m, 4H, THF), 1.99 (m, 4H, THF), 0.19 (br s, $18 \mathrm{H},{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (benzene-d ${ }_{6}, 50.32 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta: 143.26$ ( $C^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ ), 109.59 (pyr $\left.{ }^{*}-\mathrm{CH}\right), 82.92\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 74.77$ (THF), $35.46\left(\mathrm{CMe}_{3}\right), 28.99$ (Me), 26.19 (THF). MS ( 70 eV , $\left.120^{\circ} \mathrm{C},{ }^{152} \mathrm{Sm}\right): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%)=434(5)[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{THF}]^{+}, 330(16)$ $\left[\mathrm{Sm}\left(\mathrm{pyr}^{*}\right)\right]^{+}, 256$ (1) $\left[\mathrm{Sm}\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)\right]^{+}, 164$ (100) $\left[\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}\right]^{+}$, and additional fragments.

### 2.2. Synthesis of $\left[\left(\eta^{8}-C_{8} H_{8}\right) T m\left(\eta^{5}-p y r^{*}\right)\right]$ (2)

Following the procedure described for $1.0 .54 \mathrm{~g}(0.71$ mmol) $\left[\left(\eta^{8}-\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right) \mathrm{Tm}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})(\mathrm{THF})\right]_{2}$ was treated with 0.28 g ( 1.39 mmol ) $\mathrm{Na}\left[\mathrm{pyr}^{*}\right]$. Yield $0.33 \mathrm{~g}(53 \%)$ orange crystals. M.p. $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec.). Anal. Found: C, 52.99, H, 6.11, N 3.45. $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{28}$ NTm Calc.: C, 53.22, H, $6.25, \mathrm{~N}$ 3.10. MS $\left(70 \mathrm{eV}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%)=451$ (26) $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}, 347$ (3) $\left[\mathrm{Tm}\left(\mathrm{pyr}^{*}\right)\right]^{+}, 273$ (11) $\left[\mathrm{Tm}\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)\right]^{+}$, $164(100)\left[\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}\right]^{+}$, and additional fragments.

### 2.3. Synthesis of $\left[\left(\eta^{8}-C_{8} H_{8}\right) L u\left(\eta^{5}-p y r^{*}\right)\right]$ (3)

Following the procedure described for $1.0 .93 \mathrm{~g}(1.20$ mmol) $\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right) \mathrm{Lu}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})(\mathrm{THF})\right]_{2}$ was treated with $0.48 \mathrm{~g}(2.38 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{Na}\left[\mathrm{pyr}^{*}\right]$. Yield 0.47 g ( $43 \%$ ) colorless crystals. M.p. $128^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec.). Anal. Found: C, 52.20, H, 5.99, N 3.20. $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{28}$ LuN Calc.: C, $52.52, \mathrm{H}$, 6.17, N 3.06. ${ }^{1}$ H NMR (benzene- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta: 6.30$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ) , $5.53\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{pyr}^{*}-\mathrm{CH}\right), 1.16(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.{ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (benzene-d ${ }_{6}, 50.32 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta$ : $154.70\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 104.64$ (pyr * ${ }^{-\mathrm{CH}}$ ), $92.47\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 33.26$ $\left(\mathrm{CMe}_{3}\right), 30.95$ (Me). MS $\left(70 \mathrm{eV}, 110^{\circ} \mathrm{C},{ }^{195} \mathrm{Lu}\right): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $(\%)=457$ (21) $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}, 353$ (2) $\left[\mathrm{Lu}\left(\mathrm{pyr}^{*}\right)\right]^{+}, 279$ (12) $\left[\mathrm{Lu}\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)\right]^{+}, 164(100)\left[\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}\right]^{+}$, and additional fragments.
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